

Estimating the Mobile Edge Computing Infrastructure Performance (MECPerf)

VALERIO LUCONI

Istituto di Informatica e Telematica, CNR, Pisa, Italy

Virtual Review

20 November 2020

Use NITOS to validate MECPerf in an operational environment

MECPerf is:

- 1. A tool for measuring application- and network- level KPIs in a Mobile Edge Computing environment
- 2. An open interface for providing the measured KPIs





Why MECPerf?

FED4FIRE

Edge computing aims at:

- Providing the benefits of cloud computing (e.g. virtualization, flexible allocation, ...)
- Providing applications with low latency
- Keeping the offloading traffic local

MECPerf provides data for:

- Achieving optimal automatic resources orchestration (for MNOs)
- Checking the soundness of a MEC application deployment (for service providers)





Measurements



Network- and application- level bandwidth and latency:

- Active measurements (network level)
- Passive measurements (application level)
- Self measurements (application level)



Experiments Setup



Active Measurements:

- TCP/UDP latency
- TCP bandwidth, Bottleneck capacity (UDP)





Passive/Self Measurements:

- DASH video streaming app
- Application bandwidth (passive/self)
- Latency (only passive)





Results – Active

For applications running on MEC a decoupling of functions is desirable:

- latency-sensitive components are best • allocated between the mobile device and the MEC server
- high-throughput components are best positioned on the MEC server in communication with a remote cloud infrastructure



35

10



Results – Active

For applications running on MEC a decoupling of functions is desirable:

- latency-sensitive components are best allocated between the mobile device and the MEC server
- high-throughput components are best positioned on the MEC server in communication with a remote cloud infrastructure





Results – Active

For applications running on MEC a decoupling of functions is desirable:

- latency-sensitive components are best allocated between the mobile device and the MEC server
- high-throughput components are best positioned on the MEC server in communication with a remote cloud infrastructure







Results – Passive/Self

- Passive and Self measurements highlighted the importance of self assessment of the performance, especially for applications with not continuous traffic patterns.
- Transparently computing KPIs from the analysis of the traffic produced by an application can be extremely useful, in order to obtain an assessment of the performance of those applications which do not provide their own performance.





Self



AEC 1 client

loud 1 client

MEC 4 clients

Cloud 4 clients

Cloud 9 clients



Business Impact



Funding

To cover personnel costs and travel expenses to pursue our research roadmap.

01

Publications

The data produced within the experiment is of paramount value since it allows us to publish on scientific conferences and journals.

Workshop paper at IEEE COMPSAC 2020. Journal extension under preparation.

Visibility

MECPerf presented within several national and international projects:

- ETSI MEC
- BariMatera5G
- 5GCity hackathon (positioned 1st)

Business Impact – Added Value



Open source implementation

- The close-to-real world validation allowed to identify and correct bugs, and assess the soundness of MECPerf's implementation. Improved robustness and usability.
- Extended functionalities with more complex features.

Acquired knowledge

- Configuration, scripting, virtualization for improving possible future experiments.
- Wireless and wired technologies for better interpreting the results of the current and future experiments.



Business Impact – Future Research



- 1. Devise algorithms for the automatic management of MEC resources and their assignment to applications, basing on the applications' requirements and on the MECPerf's outputs.
- 2. Assessment, via MECPerf, of the performance of mobile applications in a MEC-enabled environment, in order to evaluate the suitability of a MEC solution for each one of them.

Both the activities would benefit from the availability of facilities such as the Fed4FIRE+ ones.



Business Impact – What If No Fed4FIRE+?



MECPerf was already in our plans. A prototype implementation with limited functionalities was already available.

BUT...

The completion of the MECPerf implementation and its experimental validation and evaluation would have been much harder without having access to funds to support such activities. Without Fed4FIRE+ facilities the results of the experimental validation would have been extremely less sound, and setting up a such complex experimental environment would have been extremely hard.



Feedback – Used Resources

- One Testbed: NITOS
- Just indoor nodes
- Both WiFi and LTE technologies
- From 4 to 13 nodes simultaneously plus the LTE Base Station
 - Nodes were used as user equipments, access points, MECPerf components, cross traffic generators, and application servers
- Tools:
 - OMF to load/activate/deactivate nodes
 - Command launching manually via SSH or automatically via bash scripts and cronjobs
 - Nodes configuration at different levels (e.g. iptables, route, software installation, etc.)

Positive experience, just few malfunctions promptly handled by the NITOS staff



FED4FIRE

Setup

The setup of a MECPerf experiment is rather complex and requires installing several software components and dependencies. Normal issues related to the process.





Documentation

Extremely useful to start implementing experiments. Some parts could be updated to remove now unavailable features.











Support

Every interaction with the NITOS staff was extremely smooth and any issue we had was generally solved in a few hours.





The seture MECPerf experiments complex and requires software lents and dependencies. Normal issues related to the process.



Every introduced with the NITOS support extremely smooth all was generated in a few hours.

Environment

Trustworthy and flexible enough to allow execution of complex experiments, and periodic repetition (with cronjobs/scripting). More support to automation could be provided.

The seture MECPerf experiments complex and requires software lents and dependencies. Normal issues related to the process.







Execution

The MECPerf objectives were successfully achieved. Results were extremely satisfying and we were able to publish them, which was our main goal.



Setup MECPerf setur experime complex and requi ents dependencies. Normal issues



NITOS S smooth a was genera











FED4FIRE

Why Choosing Fed4FIRE+ Availability of funding. Easy and fast procedures for going from proposal to running project. Appealing for speeding up an already defined research roadmap. Availability of real world devices already configured and running is certainly appealing for researchers in the field of networking.





Why Choosing Fed4FIRE+ Availability of the bing. Easy and fast reaction for going from properties along for speeding up an already defined research roadmap. Availability of real world devices already configured and running is certainly appealing for researchers in the field of networking.

Portfolio

The NITOS resources we requested were in line with the expectations and easy to access. We were able to run all the experiments we planned and the level of customization we could reach is high.



Why Choosing Fed4FIRE+ Availability of the sing. Easy and fast reaction for going from properties along for speeding up an already defined research roadmap. Availability of real world devices already configured and running is certainly appealing for researchers in the field of networking. Portfolio The NITC For Surces we requested for all line with the expect of d easy to access. We make able to run all the experiments we planned and the level of customization we could reach is high.



Added Value

The availability of resources is most important value, the together with clear а documentation for starting experimenting with ease. Support has been fundamental to clear the (few) doubts that could arise. Third. the number of resources is adequate to the request, allowing fair of the use resources and avoiding long for performing waits experiments.





Added Value Why Choosing Fed4FIRE+ **Portfolio** and fast mos requested the exped project. ble to ind Support customization we could_reach fundamental to clear the (few) Availability configure The overall experience of bubts that could arise. Third, experimenting within Fed4FIRE+ the field of networkin has been extremely positive in all aspects!! for



Feedback – A Few Suggestions



- 1. A tool for specifying repeating periodic experiments could be added (together with the reservation of nodes)
- 2. Also a tool for automating experiments could be added. However, we could easily achieve it with scripting and cronjobs.





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, which is co-funded by the European Commission and the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation, under grant agreement No 732638.

WWW.FED4FIRE.EU